Quantum Logics and Completeness Criteria of Inner Product Spaces

Anatolij Dvurečenskij^{1,2}

Received February 11, 1992

We present the survey of measure-theoretic completeness criteria for inner product spaces using methods and notions important for quantum logics. Moreover, some new criteria and open problems are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a real or complex inner product space with an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . We recall that for $M \subseteq S$, $M \neq \emptyset$, by M^{\perp} we mean the set of all $x \in S$ such that (x, y) = 0 for each $y \in M$. We introduce the following eight families of closed subspaces that show quite different properties from the ordering point of view:

1. W(S) is the set of all closed subspaces of S which is a weakly orthocomplemented, complete lattice for which $M \vee M^{\perp} = S$, or $M = M^{\perp \perp}$, does not hold in general.

2. F(S) is the set of all orthogonally closed subspaces of S, i.e., of all subspaces M of S such that $M = M^{\perp \perp}$, which is an orthocomplemented complete lattice (not necessarily orthomodular).

3. D(S) is the set of all Foulis-Randall subspaces of S, i.e., of all subspaces M for which there exists an orthonormal system (ONS, for short) $\{u_i\}$ such that $M = \{u_i\}^{\perp \perp}$, which is a complete orthoposet. Any M of D(S) possesses at least one local complement M', i.e., such an element $M' \in D(S)$ for which $M' \perp M$ and $M \vee M' = S$.

1899

¹Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, D-5000 Cologne 41, Germany. ²Permanent address: Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, CS-814 73 Bratislava, Czecho-Slovakia.

Dvurečenskij

4. R(S) is the set of all subspaces M of S such that $M = \{u_i\}^{\perp \perp}$ for all maximal orthonormal systems (MONS, for short) $\{u_i\}$ of M, which is a poset.

5. V(S) is the set of all subspaces M of S such that $M = \{u_i\}^{\perp \perp}$ and $M^{\perp} = \{v_j\}^{\perp \perp}$ for every MONS $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_j\}$ of M and M^{\perp} , respectively, which is an orthocomplemented poset.

6. E(S) is the set of all subspaces of S for which the condition $M + M^{\perp} = S$ holds, which is an orthomodular poset, and which is not necessarily a σ -poset.

Finally, we introduce:

7. C(S) is the set of all subspaces of S of finite or cofinite dimension, which is an orthocomplemented, orthomodular lattice.

8. P(S) is the set of all subspaces of S of finite dimension.

It is easy to see that

$$P(S) \subseteq C(S) \subseteq E(S) \subseteq V(S) \subseteq R(S) \subseteq D(S) \subseteq F(S) \subseteq W(S)$$
(1)

It is well known that S is complete iff E(S) = W(S), or iff E(S) = F(S), or iff F(S) = W(S), and for an incomplete S proper inclusions in (1) are possible. All the above families play a considerable role in the axiomatic model of quantum mechanics (see, for example, Sherstnev, 1974). For quantum logic theory, among the most important notions are a measure or a charge (=signed measure) and a quantum logic. Hence, it is extremely important to find conditions on the above families to be quantum logics, and charges that characterize Hilbert spaces among inner product spaces.

A mapping m from K(S), where K is the capital from the set $\{C, E, V, R, D, F, W\}$, into the real line R such that

$$m\left(\bigoplus_{i\in I}M_i\right) = \sum_{i\in I}m(M_i)$$
(2)

and for K = W we add the condition

$$m(M \lor M^{\perp}) = m(S), \qquad M \in W(S) \tag{3}$$

whenever $\{M_i: i \in I\}$ is a system of mutually orthogonal subspaces of K(S) for which the join $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ exists in K(S), is said to be a *charge*, signed measure, or completely additive signed measure if (2) holds for any finite, countable, or arbitrary index set I. If m attains only positive values, we say that m is a finitely additive measure, measure, or completely additive measure, respectively, according to the cardinality of I. A finitely additive measure m such that m(S)=1 is said to be a state. A charge is said to be Jordan if it can be represented as a difference of two positive, finitely additive measures.

Completeness Criteria of Inner Product Spaces

If H is a Hilbert space over S, i.e., $H=\overline{S}$, Sherstnev's (1974) generalization of the famous Gleason (1957) theorem (see also Drisch, 1979; Dvurečenskij, 1988, 1989a) says that for any bounded signed measure m on the set of all closed subspaces of H, L(H), of a separable Hilbert space H, dim $H \neq 2$, there exists a unique Hermitian operator T of trace class on H such that

$$m(M) = \operatorname{tr}(TP^{M}), \qquad M \in L(H) \tag{4}$$

where P^{M} is the orthoprojector from H onto M. Moreover, any Hermitian operator T of trace class on H generates a Jordan (bounded), completely additive signed measure m on L(H) for any H.

Measure-theoretic criteria of the completeness of inner product spaces shall be divided into three groups: ones using (1) completely additive signed measures; (2) signed measures, and (3) charges, respectively.

2. COMPLETELY ADDITIVE SIGNED MEASURE CRITERIA

Theorem 1. An inner product space S is complete iff K(S), where $K \in \{C, E, V, R, D, F, W\}$, possesses at least one nonzero completely additive signed measure.

Hamhalter and Pták (1987) showed that a separable inner product space S is complete iff F(S) possesses at least one probability measure. This result has been generalized to E(S), F(S), and other families of subspaces for a general S, as well as to signed measures and finitely additive measures in series of papers (Dvurečenskij, 1989*a*; Dvurečenskij and Mišík, 1988; Dvurečenskij and Pulmannová, 1988, 1989; Dvurečenskij and Neubrunn, 1990, 1992).

A mapping $f: \mathscr{G}(S) = \{x \in S: ||x|| = 1\} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ such that there is a constant W called the weight of f for which we have

$$\sum_{i} f(x_i) = W \tag{5}$$

for any MONS $\{x_i\}$ in S is said to be a frame function. Frame functions are in a one-to-one correspondence with completely additive signed measures on K(S).

Theorem 2 (Dvurečenskij, 1989b). An inner product space S is complete iff S possesses at least one nonzero frame function.

Gudder (1974, 1975) and Gudder and Holland (1975) proved that S is complete iff any MONS of S is an ONB of S, i.e.,

$$\forall x \in S, \forall MONS\{x_i\} \text{ of } S, \qquad x = \sum_i (x_i, x) x_i$$

This result can be considerably improved by Theorem 2:

$$\exists 0 \neq x \in S(\overline{S}) \quad \forall \text{ MONS}\{x_i\} \text{ of } S, \qquad x = \sum_i (x_i, x) x_i$$

if we put $f(u) = |(u, x)|^2$ for any $u \in \mathcal{S}(S)$.

3. SIGNED MEASURE COMPLETENESS CRITERIA

A cardinal I is said to be nonmeasurable if on the power set 2^{I} there does not exist any probability measure vanishing on all one-point subsets of I.

Theorem 3 (Dvurečenskij, 1989*a*,*b*). An inner product space S is complete iff K(S), if $K \in \{D, F, W\}$ and the dimension of S is a nonmeasurable cardinal, possesses at least one nonzero signed measure.

Theorem 4. S is complete iff F(S) or W(S) possesses at least one signed measure nonvanishing on P(S).

Problem 1. Is S complete if the dimension of S is a nonmeasurable cardinal $>\aleph_0$ and E(S) possesses at least one nonzero signed measure?

Problem 2. Is S complete if any splitting subspace M of S, dim $S = \aleph_0$, is complete?

Now we present a new criterion, and for that, according to Cattaneo *et al.* (1987), we introduce the following notions. An ONS $\{u_i\}$ of S is Dacey iff $\{u_i\} \subseteq \{x\}^{\perp} \cup \{y\}^{\perp}$ implies $x \perp y$ for x, $y \in S$. It can be shown that $ONS\{u_i\}$ is Dacey iff $\{u_i\} = \{u_{i_i}\} \cup \{u_{i_i}\}$ and $\{u_{i_i}\} \cap \{u_{i_i}\} = \emptyset$, then $\{u_{i_i}\}^{\perp\perp} = \{u_{i_i}\}^{\perp}$.

Every Dacey ONS is a MONS. On the other hand, it is possible to find a MONS (Cattaneo and Marino, 1986) which is not Dacey. We say that an inner product space S is Dacey iff any MONS of S is Dacey. From Cattaneo et al. (1987) we have that S is Dacey iff V(S) = D(S).

Theorem 5. A Dacey inner product space S is complete iff K(S), where $K \in \{V, R, D\}$, possesses at least one signed measure nonvanishing on P(S).

Proof. We know that S is Dacey iff D(S) = V(S). Hence, D(S) = R(S) = V(S). Suppose that D(S) possesses at least one signed measure. Let $\{x_i\}$ be a countable ONS of S and put $M = \{x_i\}^{\perp \perp}$. Then $M \in D(S)$, and for any MONS $\{y_i\}$ of M we have, according to the basic property of R(S), that $\{y_i\}^{\perp \perp} = M$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $m(\operatorname{sp}(e)) \neq 0$ for at least one $e \in M$. Hence, f, where $f(x) = m(\operatorname{sp}(x)), x \in \mathscr{S}(M)$, is a non-zero frame function on M with the weight m(M). Using the criterion in Dvurečenskij (1989b), we conclude that M is complete and $M \in E(S)$, so that S is complete. QED

4. CHARGE CRITERIA

A charge *m* on F(S) is said to be P(S)-regular if for each $M \in F(S)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite-dimensional subspace *N* of *M* such that $|m(M \cap N^{\perp})| < \varepsilon$. Let *T* be a Hermitian trace operator on \overline{S} ; then a mapping m_T on E(S) defined via

$$m_T(M) = \operatorname{tr}(TP^M), \qquad M \in E(S) \tag{6}$$

is a P(S)-regular Jordan charge. In particular, if for any unit vector x of S we put

$$m_x(M) = \|x_M\|^2, \qquad M \in E(S)$$

if

$$x = x_M + x_{M^\perp}, \qquad x_M \in M, \quad x_{M^\perp} \in M^\perp$$

we obtain a system of P(S)-regular states on E(S), $\{m_x: x \in \mathcal{G}(S)\}$, which determines, e.g., the ordering on E(S).

Theorem 6. Any Jordan charge m on S, dim $S \neq 2$, can be uniquely expressed as a sum $m = m_1 + m_2$, where m_1 is a P(S)-regular Jordan charge and m_2 is a Jordan charge vanishing on P(S). A Jordan charge m is P(S)regular iff m is of the form (6) for some Hermitian trace operator T on \overline{S} .

This result has a close connection with the decomposition of measures on quantum logics (Rüttimann, 1990).

We recall that a charge *m* is strongly P(S)-regular if, for every sequence $\{Q_n\}_n$ of mutually orthogonal elements of K(S) such that $Q = \bigoplus_n Q_n$ exists in K(S), there is a system of mutually compatible elements $\mathscr{B} \subset P(S)$ [i.e., \mathscr{B} is a subset contained in a Boolean subalgebra of K(S)] such that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $R \in \{Q, Q_1^{\perp}, Q_2^{\perp}, \ldots\}$ there exists a $P \in \mathscr{B}$ with $P \subseteq R$ and $|m(R \cap P^{\perp})| < \varepsilon$. The strong P(S)-regularity implies the P(S)-regularity, but the converse is not true, in general.

Theorem 7 (Dvurečenskij et al., 1990; Dvurečenskij, 1990b). If dim $S = \aleph_0$, S is complete iff K(S), if $K \in \{E, V, R\}$, possesses at least one nonzero, strongly P(S)-regular, finitely additive measure.

Problem 3. Does the strong P(S)-regularity of a nonzero Jordan charge on E(S), dim $S = \aleph_0$, imply the completeness of S?

Theorem 8 (Dvurečenskij, n.d.). S is complete iff F(S) or W(S) possesses at least one nonzero P(S)-regular Jordan charge.

We say that a subspace M_0 of S, $M_0 \in K(S)$, where $K \in \{F, W\}$, is a support of a finitely additive state m on K(S) if M(N) = 0 iff $N \perp M_0$. If m

has a support, then it is unique. The support of *m* is P(S)-regular (with respect to *m*) if given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite-dimensional subspace *M* of M_0 such that $m(M_0 \cap M^{\perp}) < \varepsilon$. For example, this is true if M_0 is of finite dimension.

Theorem 9. S is complete iff K(S), where $K \in \{F, W\}$, possesses at least one finitely additive state with P(S)-regular support. Moreover, this state is a completely additive state.

Proof. According to Dvurečenskij (1991), *m* is expressible in the form $m(M) = \operatorname{tr}(TP^{\overline{M}}) + m_2(M), M \in F(S)$, where *T* is a positive Hermitian operator of trace class on \overline{S} , and m_2 is a positive function vanishing on P(S). Due to our assumptions, there is a sequence of nondecreasing subspaces of the support M_0 , $\{M_n\}$, such that $m(M_0) = \lim_n m(M_n)$. Therefore, $m(M_0^{-1}) = 0 = m_2(M_0^{-1})$ and

$$tr(TP^{M_0}) + m_2(M_0) = m(M_0) = \lim_n m(M_n)$$
$$= \lim_n tr(TP^{M_n}) + \lim_n m(M_n) = \lim_n tr(TP^{M_n})$$

which gives

$$m(M) = \operatorname{tr}(TP^{M})$$
 for any $M \in F(S)$

In other words, m is a P(S)-regular state, so that, in view of the previous theorem, S is complete, and m is completely additive.

For K = W we proceed in an analogous way to that in the previous paragraph. QED

Problem 4. Is the set of all (bounded) nonzero charges on K(S), where $K \in \{V, R, D, F, W\}$ for incomplete S, nonempty? What is its connection to the completeness of S?

Problem 5. If $K \in \{D, F, W\}$, dim $S \neq 2$, then (Dvurečenskij, 1990a) K(S) does not possess any two-valued state. Is this true for $K \in \{E, V, R\}$?

Problem 6. Can any Jordan charge vanishing on P(S) be extended to a Jordan charge on $L(\vec{S})$?

The notion of a finitely additive measure can be extended in a conventional way to a measure attaining the values $+\infty$, too. A finitely additive measure *m* on E(S) is said to be $P(S)_{\infty}$ -regular if, given $M \in E(S)$, there is a nondecreasing sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of M, $\{M_n\}$, such that $m(M) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m(M_n)$. For finite, finitely additive measures, P(S)-regularity and $P(S)_{\infty}$ -regularity coincide.

Dvurečenskij (1992) describes the set of all $P(S)_{\infty}$ -regular, finitely additive measures (which are, for example, σ -finite, i.e., *m* is σ -finite if there

Completeness Criteria of Inner Product Spaces

is a countable, orthogonal decomposition $\{M_n\}$ of splitting subspaces of S such that $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n = S$ and $m(M_n) < \infty$ for any n) for the case that S is complete and dim $S \neq 2$.

Problem 7. Describe the set of all $(\sigma$ -finite) $P(S)_{\infty}$ -regular, finitely additive measures on E(S) for incomplete S.

We review the following completeness criteria.

Theorem 10. Let S be an inner product space. The following statements are equivalent:

1. S is complete.

2. E(S) = W(S) (Gudder, 1974).

3. F(S) = W(S) (Gudder, 1974).

4. If M is a proper closed subspaces of S, then $M^{\perp} \neq \{O\}$ (Gudder, 1974).

5. If f is a continuous linear functional on S, there exists $y \in S$ such that f(x) = (x, y) for all $x \in S$ (Gudder, 1974).

6. Every MONS in S is an ONB in S (Gudder and Holland, 1975).

7. F(S) is orthomodular (Amemiya and Araki, 1966/1967).

8. E(S) = F(S).

9. E(S) is a complete lattice (Gross and Keller, 1977).

10. E(S) is a σ -lattice (Cattaneo and Marino, 1986).

11. E(S) is a σ -orthoposet (=quantum logic) (Dvurečenskij, 1988).

12. E(S) possesses the join of any sequence of mutually orthogonal one-dimensional subspaces of S (Dvurečenskij, 1988).

13. R(S) = F(S) (Cattaneo *et al.*, 1987).

14. D(S) = E(S) (Canetti and Marino, 1988).

15. K(S), if $K \in \{C, E, V, R, D, F, W\}$, possesses at least one nonzero, completely additive signed measure (Dvurečenskij and Pulmannová, 1988, 1989).

16. S possesses at least one nonzero frame function (Dvurečenskij, 1989b, 1990a).

17. There exists a unit vector $y \in \overline{S}$ such that $y = \sum_i (y, x_i) x_i$ for any MONS $\{x_i\}$ in S (Dvurečenskij, 1989b, 1990a).

18. F(S) [W(S)] possesses at least one Jordan P(S)-regular, nonzero charge (Dvurečenskij, n.d.).

19. K(S), where $K \in \{E, V, R\}$ and the dimension of S is a countable cardinal, possesses at least one nontrivial, strongly P(S)-regular, finitely additive measure (Dvurečenskij *et al.*, 1990; Dvurečenskij, 1990*b*).

20. D(S), if S has dimension a nonmeasurable cardinal, possesses at least one nonzero, strongly P(S)-regular, finitely additive measure (Dvurečenskij *et al.*, 1990).

21. K(S), where $K \in \{D, F, W\}$ and the dimension of S is a nonmeasurable cardinal, possesses at least one σ -additive, nontrivial signed measure (Dvurečenskij, 1989*a*, 1990*a*).

22. K(S), where $K \in \{F, W\}$, possesses at least one signed measure non-vanishing on P(S) (Dvurečenskij, n.d.).

23. K(S), where $K \in \{F, W\}$ and S is Dacey, possesses at least one signed measure nonvanishing on P(S) (Theorem 5).

24. K(S), where $K \in \{F, W\}$, possesses at least one finitely additive state with a finite-dimensional support (Dvurečenskij, 1991).

25. K(S), where $K \in \{F, W\}$, possesses at least one finitely additive state with a P(S)-regular support (Theorem 9).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper was prepared with the support of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Bonn.

REFERENCES

- Aarnes, J. F. (1970). Quasi-states on C*-algebras, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 149, 601-625.
- Amemiya, I., and Araki, H. (1966/1967). A remark on Piron's paper, Publications RIMS Kyoto, A2, 423-427.
- Canetti, A., Marino, G. (1988). Completeness and Dacey pre-Hilbert spaces, Preprint, Universitá della Calabria.
- Cattaneo, G., and Marino, G. (1986). Completeness of inner product spaces with respect to splitting subspaces, *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 11, 15-20.
- Cattaneo, G., Franco, G., and Marino, G. (1987). Ordering on families of subspaces of pre-Hilbert space and Decay pre-Hilbert space, *Boll. Univ. Mat. Ital. B*, 1, 167-183.
- Dorofeev, S. V., and Sherstnev, A. N. (1990). Frame-type functions and their applications, Izvestiya Vuzov Matematika, 4, 23-29 [in Russian].
- Drisch, T. (1979). Generalization of Gleason's theorem, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 18, 239-243.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1978). Signed states on a logic, Mathematica Slovaca, 28, 33-40.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1988). Completeness of inner product spaces and quantum logic of splitting subspaces, *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 15, 231-235.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1989a). States on families of subspaces of pre-Hilbert spaces, Letters in Mathematical Physics, 17, 19-24.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1989b). Frame functions, signed measures and completeness of inner product spaces, Acta Universitas Carolinae Mathematica et Physica, 30, 41-49.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1990a). Frame function and completeness, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 23, 515–519.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1990b). Regular, finitely additive states and completeness of inner product spaces, in Proceedings of the Second Winter School on Measure Theory, Liptovsky Ján, 47-50.

Completeness Criteria of Inner Product Spaces

- Dvurečenskij, A. (1991). Regular measures and completeness of inner product spaces, in *Contributions to General Algebra*, Vol. 7, Hölder-Pichler-Tempsky Verlag, Vienna, and B. G. Teubner Verlag, Stuttgart, 137-147.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1992). Finitely additive Gleason measures, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 115, 191-198.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (n.d.). Regular charges and completeness of inner product spaces, Atti Seminario Matematico e Fisico Universita degli Modena, to appear.
- Dvurečenskij, A., and Mišik, Jr., L. (1988). Gleason's theorem and completeness of inner product spaces, International Journal of Physics, 27, 417-426.
- Dvurečenskij, A., and Pulmannová, S. (1988). State on splitting subspaces and completeness of inner product spaces, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 27, 1059-1067.
- Dvurečenskij, A., and Pulmannová, S. (1989). A signed measure completeness criterion, Letters in Mathematical Physics, 17, 253–261.
- Dvurečenskij, A., Neubrunn, T., and Pulmannová, S. (1990). Finitely additive states and completeness of inner product spaces, *Foundations of Physics*, **20**, 1091-1102.
- Dvurečenskij, A., Neubrunn, T., and Pulmannová, S. (1992). Regular states and countable additivity on quantum logics, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 114, 931–938.
- Eilers, M., and Horst, E. (1975). The theorem of Gleason for nonseparable Hilbert space, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 13, 419-424.
- Gleason, A. M. (1957). Measures on closed subspaces of a Hilbert space, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, 6, 885-893.
- Gross, H., and Keller, A. (1977). On the definition of Hilbert space, Manuscripta Mathematica, 23, 67–90.
- Gudder, S. P. (1974). Inner product spaces, American Mathematical Monthly, 81, 29-36.
- Gudder, S. P. (1975). Correction to "Inner product spaces," American Mathematical Monthly, 82, 251–252.
- Gudder, S. P., and Holland, Jr., S. (1975). Second correction to "Inner product spaces," American Mathematical Monthly, 82, 818.
- Hamhalter, J., and Pták, P. (1987). A completeness criterion for inner product spaces, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 19, 259-263.
- Rüttimann, G. T. (1990). Decomposition of cone of measures, Atti Seminario Matematico e Fisico Universita Modena, 37, 109-121.
- Sherstnev, A. N. (1974). On the charge notion in noncommutative scheme of measure theory, in: Veroj. Metod i Kibern., Kazan, No. 10-11, pp. 68-72 [in Russian].
- Varadarajan, V. S. (1968). Geometry of Quantum Theory, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey.